

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,783	04/30/2001		Basanth Jagannathan	FIS920010024US1	1078	
7	590	05/06/2002				
McGuire Wo	ods, LLP		EXAMINER			
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard				COLEMAN, WILLIAM D		
McLean, VA 22102		ART UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2823	2823	
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/843,783	JAGANNATHAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		W. David Coleman	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 April 2002</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a) $igoplus$ accepted or b) $igotimes$ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(. , ,						
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trace TO-326 (Rev.		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I invention, claims 1-9 and 15 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Czubatyj et al., U.S. Patent 5,180,690.
- 5. Pertaining to claims 1 and 15, <u>Czubatyj</u> discloses a semiconductor process as claimed. <u>Czubatyj</u> teaches a method of reducing film growth rate when growing a carbon-or boron-doped silicon film or silicon-germanium film comprising:

Art Unit: 2823

carbon or boron-doping while supplying a silicon precursor (column 11, line 16) and (column 4, line 40) to a substrate, at reduced pressure of about 0.1 to 100 millitor (column 11, line 23).

- 6. Pertaining to claim 2, <u>Czubatyj</u> teaches wherein supplying germanium precursor to the substrate (column 3, line 53).
- 7. Pertaining to claim 4, <u>Czubatyi</u> teaches wherein the doping is at a temperature of less than 8000C (column 7, lines 22-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Czubatyj et al., U.S. Patent 5,180,690 as applied to claims 1, 2, 4 and 15 above, and further in view of Furukawa et al., U.S. Patent 4,885,614.
- 10. Pertaining to claim 5, 6 and 7, <u>Czubatyj</u> discloses a semiconductor process substantially as claimed as discussed above. However, <u>Czubatyj</u> fails to disclose wherein the dopant is carbon and wherein the carbon doping is by a carbon precursor supply that is a single source. <u>Furukawa</u> teaches a single source carbon doping gas (Example 6). In view of <u>Furukawa</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a single source carbon doping gas

Application/Control Number: 09/843,783

Art Unit: 2823

into the <u>Czubatyj</u> semiconductor process because the process forms a doped silicon-germanium-carbon layer simultaneously (column 9, lines 45-49).

Page 4

11. Pertaining to claims 3, 8 and 9 the combined teaches fail to disclose the ranges as claimed for the process of reducing film growth rate when growing carbon or boron-doped silicon film or silicon-germanium film. Given the teaching of the references, it would have been obvious to determine the optimum thickness, temperature as well as condition of delivery of the layers involved. See *In re Aller, Lacey and Hall* (10 USPQ 233-237) "It is not inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed ranges or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any differences in the claimed invention and the prior art may be expected to result in some differences in properties. The issue is whether the properties differ to such an extent that the difference is really unexpected. *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Appellants have the burden of explaining the data in any declaration they proffer as evidence of non-obviousness. *Ex parte Ishizaka*, 24 USPQ2d 1621, 1624 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992).

An Affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Burckel*, 592 F.2d 1175, 201 USPQ 67 (CCPA 1979).

Conclusion

a. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 703-305-0004. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

W. David Coleman

Examiner Art Unit 2823

WDC May 1, 2002